

The Auburn Bulletin.

Office: Corner Clark and Green Streets, Auburn, N. Y. TERMS: \$4.00 per year. FIFTY cents per month.

Published at the P. O. at Auburn as second class matter, hence entitled to be sent at second rates.

NATURAL gas has been turned on at Washington.

RIGHT where it was—the site for our government building.

How these Confederate brigadiers do talk, when they get going.

That Bernhardt woman is coming back to America. She can study realism to Utah.

THE QUEEN'S speech reads like the old song: "The Union forever, hurrah, boys, hurrah!"

PHILADELPHIA is disposed to complain because 480 of 732 polling places in that city are in houses where liquor is sold. Really Philadelphia should rejoice that the other 252 are not so located, but some people never permit themselves to be happy.

LITTLE difference does a man's politics make when he reaches Albany and has a seat in the Capitol. He wants a pass home again and wants it right off. If the railroad would not pass, the sessions of the legislature would be shortened one half.

AFTER interviewing the police and ascertaining the meaning of fine coats, brass buttons and long livery clubs, the Hungarian miners called down somewhat. They still drink rum until they are dizzy but their razors do not flash in the sunlight as they did a few days ago.

OHIO has two Senators, now, as the result of the contested election cases. It is difficult to decide which is the true and legal body, but, as one is composed wholly of Democrats and the other wholly of Republicans, why not let them engage in generous rivalry as to which should pass the best laws.

OVER in England they don't know what to do about Ireland any better than they did before Parliament met. Nobody has made a proposal, and nobody seemingly dares do so. Everybody is waiting for somebody to lead off and nobody is willing to put his head in the lion's mouth. It is a queer situation, to say the very least.

ENGLAND has learned that Germany don't mean to annex Samoa and that lone some gubast of ours recently dispatched to the island to whip the whole German navy if there should be a breach of our guaranty of independence, may as well come back. This isn't nice weather for our navy to be out in.

NO attempt has yet been made to enforce the law against racing on South street. The police authorities seem to have adopted the old plan of leaving the law to enforce itself. The same is true of the boys and their "boos." There are several streets in the city which are dangerous for man and beast.

"WE ARE SEVEN," sing the recalcitrant Senators, and then say they will go into caucus whenever the majority will agree to vote to reject any of Governor Hill's nominations in which the man to be succeeded shall be a Republican. It is all a fight over Smith and Platt, and the Smithites and Plattites at present appear to have the best of it.

MOXTKAL is free of the smallpox at last, the Superintendent of the Board of Health having resigned because there is nothing further for him to do. The people will doubtless now turn their attention to the revival of the winter sports, which have made their city a famous place of winter resort, and get ready to otherwise repair the damages done to business by the absolute reign of the fatal epidemic during the past season. The season of the necessity of a strong municipal control of proper sanitary measures should not be lost.

SEVERAL candidates for the position of City Attorney are announced. Nobody who is not intimate with the workings of the city government, knows what an important position this is. It is not too much to say that Mr. Woodin, while holding the place, saved the city several thousand dollars in cash and, by his advice, steered the city government away from many jagged rocks in legislation, which in resulting suits would have cost thousands more. In selecting his successor, the Council cannot be too careful, for too conscientious.

THE SECRET circular issued to the Mormons last summer by John Taylor and George Q. Cannon has at last been made public in the Salt Lake Tribune. The gist of it is that Mormons should never give their children to non-Mormon parents, and that if they do, they are guilty of a crime. "Let us contend for our rights," they say, "inch by inch." But fighting in the courts is expensive, and therefore they urge the saints to contribute to a defense fund. They themselves have run away, because they are sure they could not have had a fair trial, but the poor fellows who can't run away ought to be protected by the faithful. This contribution to a defense fund, coming out of the regular tithing paid to Taylor, Cannon & Co., must be some of the saints into financial straits. If it does, however, they are piously assured that strength from above will be given them to bear every trial, provided they have observed these teachings.

STOP THE WAR.

Whatever is the truth about the Norfolk Navy Yard, whether it was or was not turned upside down to toss out Union soldiers, the remarks yesterday of Congressman Wise, of Virginia, were certainly to the point. People who have been under the impression that the war is over and they have but a deluded set. The war is not over—it never will be over, so long as political capital is to be made by keeping it up. Mr. Wise turned the tables on Mr. Boutelle, but that does not by any means end the war. It will be carried on by the Congressional bomb-proofer all this winter and all next winter and every succeeding winter while the Democrats are in power. It is true of the Democratic party that a great portion of it is formed of men who participated in the Rebellion. In making appointments to office, it is impossible for that party to avoid selecting some of these men. In making removals from office it is equally impossible that Union veterans should not be sometimes the victims of the change in administration. Occasionally it will happen that a Confederate succeeds a Union man. Why this is, everybody understands who knows anything about the victory or his country's politics. Whenever it occurs, we shall hear the war-whoop. Luckily, we are used to it.

In making appointments in the South, there is this principle to be observed: No man should be given office because he was a Confederate and no man should be deprived of office because he was a Unionist, and no man should be debarred from office because he was a Confederate. That is the only safe line of action. We have forgiven the South. It is not manly to be twitting them of it. We have not forgotten the Rebellion, but it is not right to be saying so every day. We expect works meet for repentance, and it is not patriotic to keep alive the animosity which is one of the things repented of. The Confederates are now citizens of the United States. We made them so and should treat them so. That is the only way to have a united country. It is unfortunate for both parties and for the country that the parties should be divided sectionally as they are, but the way to de-sectionalize them is to hurry on sectionalism and the events of a quarter century ago. We have men, voters now, who were not born when the real war closed. Will it make any better citizens to keep harping the old war songs? It is our duty to care for the Union veterans, but it is our duty to keep alive feelings which may make more of them in the future?

MR. BLAINE'S second volume hangs fire and it is said that he is inserting therein a strong plea for silver coinage. Shouldn't he be surprised if it were so. In 1878 he was in favor of the unlimited coinage of 425 grain silver dollars.

PRETTY MUCH EVERYTHING.

The fowl has a pinion of its own.—Sioux Falls Leader. Played in a restaurant—"As You Like It."—Hot Springs News. The chicken thief is an annex-beer trick fellow.—Marathon Independent. This is the season for unadorned youth to bore 'mid snow and ice.—The Judge. Fire Pat-rol—List of Irish members of the Fire Department.—California Maverick. Hartford now prohibits the selling of Sunday newspapers on the streets after ten o'clock.

British Columbia proposes to buy out the Chinese by withholding miners' licenses from them. Grave-diggers are rarely so energetic as to throw themselves into their work.—Yonkers Gazette. When a burglar picks open a safe and does not find a prize it is a disagreeable surprise.—Texas Sittings. Prof. Huxley has at length accepted the pension of \$1,500 a year offered to him by the British Government.

"Get lead and you have bread" is the alluring invitation presented on blood-red cards by the Chicago anarchists. "Not this dime; some other dime," said the bartender as he shoved back the dog-eared ten-cent piece.—Hamilton Spectator. A cannon that was used in Indian wars 200 years ago is stationed in front of police headquarters in Montgomery, Ala.

A BRUISED ROSE. The reverend that filed this night before: Hushed the patter of ones dancing feet. The rattle of fiddles, laughter sweet. The music, hushed—'till a faint gleam. Press'd from the pillow of a broken dream.—C. P. Coleman, Jr. Let fall upon the floor from some fair breast, All that tells it was once cunning lead. I brought by the well remembrance of repair. The music, hushed—'till a faint gleam. Press'd from the pillow of a broken dream.—C. P. Coleman, Jr. Since 1839 one hundred and thirty-four persons have lost their lives in climbing the Swiss Alps. Of these thirty were tourists and forty were guides.

A Massachusetts man has invented a machine which he says will tie a square knot, hitherto regarded by inventors as beyond the power of machinery. A man in Toronto has been killed for leaving the door open. This great crime appears to have at last met with an adequate punishment.—Pittsburg Chronicle Telegraph. The grinding of the crown glass disk of the immense lens, for Lick Observatory, California, is well under way at Cambridge, Mass., yet a whole year's work remains to be done before it can be finished.

WE'RE ELEVEN. I met a little actress— She was "weep years" old, she said, "Alack, her hair was thick with curls. 'Twas might have once been red. "Your husband, little maid," I said, "How many may they be?" She said, "I've got to court her sisters though!" "And one was here, and one was there, But the maid would not be here no more. And say, 'ere were eleven."—The Judge. An American sojourner in a German university town was started the other day

to hear this question seriously put and hotly debated by a party of students: "Is the speaker drunk when he made his will?" DeVoe, the New Jersey weather prophet, says January will be an "extremely cold month." This will be very disappointing to those persons who hoped that January would be an extremely hot month, as usual.—Norristown Herald. Among the "useful Christmas presents" advertised by a Chicago dealer are "graduations." Perhaps the reader who has never been within fifty miles of Chicago has never heard a mince pie designated by such a term before.—Yonkers Statesman. At the matinee at Augustus, G., theater, the other day, a chewing gum man stood at the door and presented a new brand of gum to everybody that entered. The spectacle of the audience as viewed from the stage is said to have been a jaw-ious one.—Springfield Union.

It is predicted that the restaurant exclusively for women will be a failure in this city. This is probably correct. If women have to pay for all their meals at a fashionable restaurant their custom would probably be very much smaller than it now is.—N. Y. Graphic. HIS IMPRESSION. Dressed in his best, he went to the rink. The lady sits at her table alone. (I surely do not mean a horse!) I'm going about through the aisles, through the aisles, through the aisles, through the aisles. He steps to himself a gambler's song. (The life the clan bid him a fond soldier.) He joined the ranks and an' he's here. The best laid plans of men and mice (Oh, woe to him who believes he'll see) will come to naught on the treacherous sea. My hero fell from his high estate. The painter's art fair Nature marred. (Could the heavens hold so many stars?) And I think he made an impression.—The Judge.

PEOPLE AND POLITICS. —An Ohio physician has distinguished himself by eating quail for sixty consecutive days. Ohio politicians have been known to eat quail for a longer period.—Philadelphia North American. —If the Kennedys get a short crop they will have a chance to abort the "Jim Crow" bill. It is a fresh word never heard before.—Mad Mr. Blaine was President.—Lawiston (Me) Gazette. —A son and namesake of J. Warren Keifer has located permanently in Nebraska. He is the first distinguished Ohioan to bubble to the surface in that border State since R. B. Hayes closed out his liquor business in Omaha.—Chicago News.

—Mr. Henry Miller, a venerable Pittsburger, applied to the Poor Board of Allegheny county, Pa., for a new pair of shoes, and was surprised and grieved at being refused, and advised to supply himself from the \$5,000 he had in the savings bank. —Mr. Henry J. Elliott of Philadelphia, will make the plaster cast of the Junius Brutus statue, which will be the monument of which the bronze statue will be the central figure, will be of sandstone or gray marble and will be finished at a cost of \$20,000 within three months. —Evangelist Moody is said to have refused such seductive offers as \$5,000 if he would sit for his photograph, but as a compensation it is rumored that a single negative has been taken and held in reserve to be made public when the Evangelist dies, and in the meantime the anxious universe awaits the expected blessing.

—"What is a mugwump?" is the ancient conundrum propounded by an exchange. We are not antiquarians, and as there are no such animals, we cannot give an answer. Should be consulted. All we know is that the mugwump is an extinct species. The fossil remains can be discovered in the 1861 stratum.—Albany Express. —John Sherman talking about the purity of the ballot-box was an impressive sight. An honest ballot-box would have shut "Honest" John out of politics long ago, and he knew it. Now let us hear from that other distinguished Ohio statesman, Rufus B. Hayes, denouncing the ballot-box frauds perpetrated by visiting statesmen.—Chicago Times. —Lively Scene in the House.

Congressman Boutelle's new and a list of Virginia Resolves Some of the Old Members. WASHINGTON, Jan. 22.—In the House yesterday, Mr. Herbert of Alabama, from the committee on naval affairs, reported back the Boutelle resolution, calling on the Secretary of the Navy for information relative to the alleged erasures of certain inscriptions and the dismissal of Union soldiers at the Norfolk navy yard, with an amendment extending the inquiry to dismissals made at the navy yard and the Norfolk district at Norfolk during the terms of the predecessors of the present Secretary of the Navy. Mr. Herbert stated that the resolution was substantially the same as that originally offered by Mr. Boutelle, except that it was somewhat broader. He denounced the previous question. The Republicans resisted this, but a division was ordered 87 to 28. Tellers were ordered, the body of the Republicans refrained from voting, and the yeas and nays were taken. Mr. Perkins raised the point of order that no quorum had voted. "It is evident, then," said Mr. Herbert, "that the gentlemen do not want their own interrogations answered. I withdraw the report."

There was loud applause on the Democratic side, and Mr. Boutelle objected to the withdrawal of the report. "The report is being acted upon," said Mr. Reed. "and cannot be withdrawn." The Speaker sustained the position, the Republicans applauded. The Republicans opposed the motion for a call of the House, but it was ordered, 153 to 123. The call disclosed the presence of 296 members there being but 29 absentees, and, on motion of Mr. Herbert a resolution was adopted directing the sergeant-at-arms to attend and bring to the bar of the House such members as were absent without leave. Immediately he moved that all further proceedings under the call be dispensed with. He called attention to the fact that there was an unusually full attendance of members. The sole question was a question of liberty to debate. No unreasonable time was asked for by the minority. It could not be that gentlemen on the other side, with a majority of forty, were desirous of suppressing debate from fear of an adjournment. No harm ever came to a free country from a free discussion of a legitimate proposition.

This brought on an exceedingly warm debate. Mr. Herbert of New York said he thought he could pledge his side of the House to give the amplest opportunity for discussion when the information called for was given by the Secretary of

the navy. But to undertake to come in, and to state the fact that the dry dock at Portsmouth had been destroyed, was the motion of the House. Mr. Reed's motion was voted down, and, after a short wait, the Sergeant-at-Arms brought to the bar of the House Messrs. Bowden, Biggs and Blughan. After being considerably goaded by their associates, the excuses presented by these gentlemen were deemed satisfactory and they were released. At 2:30 o'clock Mr. Herbert thought he had secured a quorum of Democrats and accordingly further proceedings under the call were dispensed with. The previous question was ordered on the resolution. Yeas, 159; nays, 91.

There was crowded into the next half hour the most exciting political debate that has been presented to the House during the present session. The debate was opened by Mr. Boutelle, who called attention to the fact that the first legislative act of the House had been the passage by unanimous consent of a bill removing the political disabilities of an ex-Confederate who had waited more than twenty years before discovering a desire to be placed in the line of eligibility to an appointment. In contrast with this, he, Mr. Boutelle, had been constantly forming that fifteen minutes of time was an ample allowance in which to present the case of the outrageous dismissal of disabled veterans of the Union army from the employment of the government, and the obliteration, debasement, and removal of inscriptions commemorative of the success of the Union army. He trusted that these resolutions, if justly passed, would prove more instructive to the country than any remarks he could offer.

The resolution he had introduced had related to the allegations that an officer of the United States government in one of the navy yards of the government had ordered the obliteration of honorable inscriptions on cannon captured by the United States army, and had caused to be removed from the dry dock a memorial tablet setting forth that it had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863. He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863. He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863.

He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863. He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863.

He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863. He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863.

He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863. He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863.

He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863. He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863.

He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863. He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863.

He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863. He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863.

He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863. He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863.

He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863. He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863.

He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863. He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863.

He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863. He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863.

He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863. He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863.

He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863. He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863.

He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863. He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863.

He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863. He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863.

He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863. He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863.

He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863. He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863.

He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863. He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863.

He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863. He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863.

He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863. He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863.

He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863. He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863.

He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863. He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863.

He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863. He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863.

He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863. He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863.

He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863. He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863.

He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863. He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863.

He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863. He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863.

He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863. He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863.

He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863. He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863.

He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863. He did not understand that the facts had been in any way contradicted. On the contrary, he found that a Norfolk paper, whose editor was closely connected with this officer had stated that the Commemorative Tablet in place of cannon captured by the United States army, had been destroyed by the rebels in 1862 and reconstructed in 1863.

GREAT REDUCTION in Prices of DRY GOODS! Great Special Closing Out Sale! At the store of Lyon, Elliott & Bloom, 85 Genesee St., Auburn, N. Y. Where Dry Goods are being sold at Bargains never before heard of in this part of the Country.

Every Day, Very Attractive Bargains are presented to those who visit our store of Lyon, Elliott & Bloom. Extraordinary Bargains may be found in our Dress Goods Department.

Note Some of the Reductions! Dress Goods formerly sold at 50 cts. a yard, now offered for the purpose of clearing out, at 20 cts. \$25 ct. Dress Goods for 12 1/2 cts. \$1.00 Dress Goods for 50 cts. \$1.50 Dress Goods for 75 cts. \$4.00 Velvets for \$5.00. \$1.50 Silks for \$1.00. \$1.00 Silks for 75 cts. Also many special drives in Dress Goods at one-half the former price. A large lot of Ladies' and Gentlemen's Shirts and Drawers. Also Children's, at Great Reductions in Price.

This is Not a Bankrupt Sale of Dry Goods. It is not a sham sale. It is a genuine offer of a large and desirable stock of Dry Goods, bought at lowest prices for cash, which we wish to convert into money before we put in stock our Spring purchases.

Our Cloak Department Has always been the most extensive in Cayuga County. For the purpose of clearing out every Winter Cloak now on hand, we shall offer Ladies' and Children's Cloaks at cost—some at less than cost—others at over one-half or one-third of original cost. We have decided they must be sold for what they will bring.

In Our Sisk Department, You will find some very wonderful bargains in Jobs. We want you to examine them. Honors! Honors! Honors! Lyon, Elliott & Bloom, at this Great Clearing Out Sale of Winter Goods, are slaughtering the prices of Hosiery, and offering very extraordinary bargains in Hosiery for Men—Hosiery for Women and Children.

Some lines formerly 50 cts. per pair are now going at 25 cts. Hosiery formerly \$1.00 and \$1.25 per pair now offered at 50 cts. per pair. Men's Hosiery formerly considered cheap at 30 cts. per pair now 20 cts. Some broken lots of Hosiery formerly 75 cts. and \$1.00 are now put into the Job Lot clearing \$25 at 25 cts. The Greatest Bargains ever shown in Hosiery may now be seen, at the store of Lyon, Elliott & Bloom.

We have made large reductions in the prices of Rose Blankets, and offer a splendid assortment at extremely low prices. Also Bed Comforters and Grey Blankets. Housekeepers Will be interested in the large assortment and low prices, at which Lyon, Elliott & Bloom offer Blankets and Bedspreads, Cottons, Table Linens and Towelings, Napkins and Towels. We are offering Cloakings and Seal Skin Fishes, at great reduction.

We again invite you to visit our store at this great closing out sale of Winter Dry Goods now in progress. Lyon, Elliott & Bloom, 85 Genesee St., Auburn, N. Y. Hamilton's Emulsion of Pure Cod Liver Oil with Hypophosphites, is prepared only at Steel's Drug Store. This pure and elegant preparation is now largely used in this city and vicinity, and is prescribed daily by the leading physicians of Auburn, and elsewhere. Price, Bottles 75 cts. JOSEPH N. STEEL, dec5alf

Mosher's Electrized Hop Plaster, at 15c. a box or 2 boxes for 25c., for 10 days only, at Smith's Drug Store, 50 State St. This is fresh plaster, no old goods offered—Regular price, 25c. We are still selling goods at bottom prices. In this particular, we are the leading druggists. jan19a,dec5alf

Terrible Mutilation of Boots and Shoes. Times being dull, I have concluded to clean out my stock of Leather Foot-wear and to-morrow I will commence. Here are a few of the prices: Men's \$4.00 Boot and \$3.75; Men's \$3.50 Lace and Button \$1.82.25; Men's \$2.00 Button, Lace and Gaiter, for \$1.45; Ladies' \$2.00 Lace and Gaiter for \$1.45; Ladies' \$2.00 French Kid for \$1.45; Men's Rubbers \$1.00; Ladies' Rubbers \$1.00; Misses' and Child's Rubbers 25c.; all other goods at